

No. R-474. Joint resolution urging the United States Congress to propose amendments to the United States Constitution for the states' consideration relating to contributions and expenditures intended to affect elections and relating to the rights of corporations.

(J.R.S.11)

By Senators Lyons, Sears, Ashe, Ayer, Baruth, Fox, Giard, MacDonald, McCormack, Miller and Pollina,

Whereas, the U.S. Bill of Rights provides certain inalienable rights to natural persons, and

Whereas, corporations are not mentioned in the U.S. Constitution, and

Whereas, corporations are legal entities that governments create, and the rights they enjoy under the U.S. Constitution should be more narrowly defined than the rights that are afforded to natural persons, and

Whereas, the decision to regulate corporate financial campaign contributions is one that historically Congress and the states have been constitutionally allowed to address, and

Whereas, in 1907, Congress enacted the Tillman Act prohibiting corporate financial contributions to federal election campaigns for public office, and

Whereas, in 2010, the U.S. Supreme Court in *Citizens United v. Federal Election Commission*, 130 S.Ct. 876 (U.S. 2010), ruled that Congress and the states lacked the constitutional right to ban independent corporate expenditures to political campaigns for public office, and

Whereas, the U.S. Supreme Court in the *Citizens* decision relied on its previously issued opinion in the 1976 case *Buckley v. Valeo*, 424 U.S. 1

(U.S. 1976), in which it equated the spending of money for electing candidates to public office as speech, and

Whereas, the *Citizens* decision has allowed for the creation of super political action committees in election campaigns for public office that allow for unregulated campaign expenditures in unprecedented amounts, and

Whereas, as a result of the *Citizens* decision, Congress and the state legislatures were denied any legal authority to regulate independent corporate political expenditures, and

Whereas, a restoration of the guidelines established in the Bipartisan Campaign Reform Act of 2002 is imperative so that Congress and the state legislatures may exercise their historic authority to make their own decisions about whether to regulate corporate political expenditures, and

Whereas, this policy change will require that the U.S. Constitution be amended to authorize congressional or state regulation of individual and corporate financial participation in political campaigns, and

Whereas, on Vermont town meeting day, March 6, 2012, 64 Vermont towns and cities passed resolutions urging the Vermont congressional delegation and the U.S. Congress to propose legislative or congressional action to address the issues raised by *Citizens* including that money is not speech and corporations are not persons under the U.S. Constitution, and

Whereas, these resolutions, passed by towns on town meeting day, also urged the general assembly to pass a similar resolution directed at the Vermont congressional delegation, and

Whereas, U.S. Senator Tom Udall of New Mexico with 22 cosponsors has introduced Senate Joint Resolution 29, “proposing an amendment to the Constitution of the United States relating to contributions and expenditures intended to affect elections,” that would give the Congress and the states the authority to regulate the raising and spending of moneys with respect to elections, *now therefore be it*

Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives:

That the General Assembly expresses its disagreement with the holdings of the U.S. Supreme Court in *Buckley* and in *Citizens* that money is speech and urges Congress to adopt Senate Joint Resolution 29, *and be it further*

Resolved: That the General Assembly urges Congress to consider the request of many Vermont cities and towns to propose a U.S. constitutional amendment for the state’s consideration that provides that money is not speech and corporations are not persons under the U.S. Constitution and that also affirms the constitutional rights of natural persons, *and be it further*

Resolved: That the General Assembly does not support an amendment to the U.S. Constitution that would abridge the constitutional rights of any person or organization including freedom of religion or freedom of the press, *and be it further*

Resolved: That the Secretary of State be directed to send a copy of this resolution to the Vermont Congressional Delegation.